

BIBLIOGRAPHIE SUR LA SCIENTIFICITÉ DE LA RECHERCHE QUALITATIVE

François Guillemette, Ph.D.

Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières

Marie-Josée Berthiaume, Ps.éd.

Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières

- Adams St. Pierre, E., & Roulston, K. (2006). The state of qualitative inquiry :A contested science. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 19(6), 673-684.
- Aguinaldo, J. P. (2004). Rethinking validity in qualitative research from a social constructionist perspective : From "Is this valid research?" to "What is this research valid for?". *The Qualitative Report*, 9(1), 127-136.
- Altheide, D. L., & Johnson, J. M. (1994). Criteria for assessing interpretive validity in qualitative research. Dans N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (p. 485-499). Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Ambert, A. M. (1995). Understanding and evaluating qualitative research. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, (57), 879-893.
- Andréani, T., Crampe-Casnabet, M., Damamme, D., Demichel, F., Desbrousses, H., Frydman, B., Jankélévitch, S., Jorland, G., Le Van Lemesle, L., & Naud, D. (1997). *Objet des sciences sociales et normes de scientificité*. Paris : L'Harmattan.
- Angen, M. J. (2000). Evaluation interpretive inquiry : Reviewing the validity debate and opening the dialogue. *Qualitative Health Research*, (10), 378-395.
- Arminio, J. L., & Hultgren, F. H. (2002). Breaking out from the shadow : The question of criteria in qualitative research. *Journal of College Student Development*, 43(4), 446-460.

- Ashworth, P. (1993). Participant agreement in the justification of qualitative findings. *Journal of Phenomenological Psychology*, (24), 3-16.
- Banister, P., Bruman, E., Parker, I., Taylor, M., & Tindall, C. (Eds.). (1994). *Qualitative methods in psychology : A research guide*. Buckingham, UK : Open University.
- Barbour, R. (2001). Checklists for improving rigor in qualitative research : A case of the tail wagging the dog? *British Medical Journal*, 322(5), 1115-1117.
- Becker, H. S. (2003). Inférence et preuve en observation participante. Fiabilité des données et validité des hypothèses. Dans D. Céfaï (Éd.), *L'enquête de terrain* (p. 350-362). Paris : La Découverte.
- Beeman, S. (1995). Maximizing credibility and accountability in qualitative data collection and data analysis : A social work research case example. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*, (22), 99-114.
- Belley, M. (1987). La validité scientifique d'une recherche-action. Dans J.-P. Deslauriers (Éd.), *Entre le savoir et l'action : choix éthiques et méthodologiques* (p. 101-103). Chicoutimi : GRIG.
- Bernier, L. (1987). Les conditions de la preuve dans une démarche qualitative à base de récits de vie. Dans J.-M. Van Der Maren (Dir.), *L'interprétation des données dans la recherche qualitative* (p. 7-19). Montréal : Faculté des sciences de l'éducation.
- Blaxter, M. (1996). Criteria for qualitative research. *Medical Sociology News*, 26(6), 34-37.
- Bloor, M. J. (1983). Notes on member validation. Dans R. M. Emerson (Ed.), *Contemporary field research : A collection of readings* (p. 156-172). Boston : Little & Brown.
- Bloor, M. (1997). Techniques of validation in qualitative research : a critical commentary. Dans G. Miller & R. Dingwall (Eds.), *Context and method in qualitative research* (p. 37-50). London : Sage.
- Bochner, A. P., Ellis, C., & Tillman-Healy, L. (1998). Mucking about looking for truth. Dans B. M. Montgomery & L. A. Baxter (Eds), *Dialectical approaches to studying personal relationships*. Mahwah, NJ : Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Bradbury, H., & Reason, P. (2001). Conclusion : Broadening the bandwidth of validity : Issues and choice-points for improving the quality of action research. Dans P. Reason & H. Bradbury (Eds.), *Handbook of action research* (p. 343-351). Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.

- Brinberg, D., & McGrath, J. E. (1985). *Validity and the research process*. Beverly Hills, CA : Sage.
- Camic, P. M., Rhodes, J. E., & Yardley, L. (2003). Naming the stars : Integreting qualitative methods into psychological research. Dans P. M. Camic, J. E. Rhodes & L. Yardley (Eds.), *Qualitative research in psychology*. Washington : APA.
- Chalmers, A. F. (1999). *What is this thing called science?* Maidenhead : Open University Press.
- Cicourel, A. V. (2003). Contre un empirisme naïf. Une théorie plus forte et un contrôle plus ferme sur les données. Dans D. Céfai (Éd.), *L'enquête de terrain* (p. 380-397). Paris : La Découverte.
- Cobb, A. K., & Hagemaster, J. N. (1987). Ten criteria for evaluating qualitative research proposals. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 26(4), 138-143.
- Corbin, J. (1998). Comment : Alternative interpretations - valid or not? *Theory and Psychology*, 8(1), 121-128.
- Corbin, J. M. (1998). Alternative interpretations : Valid or not? *Theory & Psychology*, (8), 121-128.
- Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. L. (1990). Grounded theory research : Procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria. *Qualitative Sociology*, 13(1), 3-21.
- Creswell, J. W., & Miller, D. L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into Practice*, 39(3), 124-131.
- Daston, L., & Galison, P. (1992). The image of objectivity. *Representations*, 40, 81-128.
- De Ketele, J.-M., & Maroy, C. (2006). Quels critères de qualité pour les recherches en éducation? Dans L. Paquay, M. Crahay & J.-M. De Ketele (Dir.), *L'analyse qualitative en éducation* (p. 119-249). Bruxelles : De Boeck.
- DeLaguna, F. (1957). Some problems of objectivity in ethnology. *Man*, 57, 179-182.
- Denzin, N. K., Lincoln, Y. S., & Giardina, M. D. (2006). Disciplining qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 19(6), 769-782.
- Deprez, M. (2007). Chercheur cherche sujets : La recherche sur le terrain, l'éthique et la scientificité. *Recherches Qualitatives, Hors-Série*(3), 384-395.

- Drucker-Godard, C., Ehlinger, S., & Grenier, C. (2003). Validité et fiabilité de la recherche. Dans R.-A. Thiétart (Dir.), *Méthodes de recherche en management* (2^e éd.) (p. 257-287). Paris : Dunod.
- Eisner, E. W. (2003). On the art and science of qualitative research in psychology. Dans P. M. Camic, J. E. Rhodes & L. Yardley (Eds.), *Qualitative research in psychology* (p. 17-29). Washington : APA.
- Eisner, E. W., & Peshkin, A. (Eds.). (1990). *Qualitative inquiry in education : The continuing debate*. New York : Teachers College Press.
- Elder, N. C., & Miller, W. L. (1995). Reading and evaluating qualitative research studies. *Journal of Family Practice*, 41(3), 279-285.
- Emden, C., & Sandelowski, M. (1998). The good, the bad and the relative, part one : Conceptions of goodness in qualitative research. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, (4), 206-212.
- Emden, C., & Sandelowski, M. (1999). The good, the bad and the relative, part two : Goodness and the criterion problem in qualitative research. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, (5), 2-7.
- Enerstvedt, R. T. (1989). The problem of validity in social science. Dans S. Kvale (Ed.), *Issues of validity in qualitative research* (p. 135-173). Malabar, FL : Robert E. Krieger.
- Firestone, W. A. (1993). Alternative arguments for generalizing from data as applied to qualitative research. *Educational Researcher*, 22(4), 16-23.
- Fitch, K. L. (1994). Criteria for evidence in qualitative research. *Western Journal of Communication*, 58(1), 32-38.
- Forbes, D. A., King, K. M., Kushner, K. E., Letourneau, N. L., Myrick, A. F., & Profetto-McGrath, J. (1999). Warrantable evidence in nursing science. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, (29), 373-379.
- Freeman, M., deMarras, K., Preissle, J., Roulston, K., & St. Pierre, E. A. (2007). Standards of evidence in qualitative research : An incitement to discourse. *Educational Researcher*, 36(1), 25-32.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss A. L. (1966). The purpose and credibility of qualitative research. *Nursing Research*, (15), 56-61.
- Gliner, J. A. (1994). Reviewing qualitative research : Proposed criteria for fairness and rigor. *Occupational Therapy Journal of Research*, 14(2), 78-90.
- Gohier, C. (2004). De la démarcation entre critères d'ordre scientifique et d'ordre éthique en recherche interprétative. *Recherches Qualitatives*, 24, 3-17.

- Golafshani, N. (2003). Understanding reliability and validity in qualitative research. *The Qualitative Report*, 8(4), 597-607.
- Green, J., & Thorogood, N. (2004). *Qualitative methods for health research*. Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Hall, W. A., & Callery, P. (2001). Enhancing the rigor of grounded theory : Incorporating reflexivity and relationality. *Qualitative Health Research*, (11), 257-272.
- Hammersley, M. (1987). Some notes on the terms "validity" and "reliability". *British Educational Research Journal*, (13), 73-81.
- Healy, M., & Perry, C. (2000). Comprehensive criteria to judge validity and reliability of qualitative research within the realism paradigm. *Qualitative Market Research*, 3(3), 118-126.
- Henwood, K. L. (2004). Reinventing validity : Reflections on principles from beyond the quality-quantity divide. Dans Z. Todd, B. Nerlich, S. Mckeown & D. Clarke (Eds), *Mixing methods in psychology* London : Routledge.
- Hirsch, E. D. (1967). *Validity in interpretation*. London : Yale University Press.
- Hollander, D. A. N. J. (1967). Social description : The problem of reliability and validity. Dans D. G. Longmans & P. C. W. Gutkind (Eds.), *Anthropologist in the field* (p. 1-34). Assen, Netherlands : Van Gorcum.
- Holloway, I., & Wheeler, S. (2002). *Qualitative Research in Nursing* (2nd ed.). Oxford, UK : Blackwell.
- Howe, K., & Eisenhart, M. (1990). Standards for qualitative (and quantitative) research : A prolegomenon. *Educational Researcher*, 19(4), 2-9.
- Johnson, B. R. (1997). Examining the validity structure of qualitative research. *Education*, 118(3), 282-292.
- Johnson, J. M. (1975). *Doing field research*. New York : Free Press.
- Kirk, J., & Miller, M. (1986). *Reliability and validity in qualitative research*. Beverly Hills, CA : Sage.
- Kline, W. B. (2008). Developing and submitting credible qualitative manuscripts. *Counselor Education & Supervision*, 47(4), 210-217.
- Koch, T. (1994). Establishing rigour in qualitative research : The decision trail. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 19, 976-986.
- Krefting. L. (1991). Rigor in qualitative research : The assessment of trustworthiness. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, (45), 214-222.

- Kuzel, A. J., & Engel, J. D. (2001). Some pragmatic thoughts about evaluating qualitative health research. Dans J. M. Morse, J. M. Swanson & A. J. Kuzel (Eds.), *The nature of qualitative evidence* (p. 114-138). Thousand Oaks : Sage.
- Kvale, S. (Ed.). (1989). *Issues of validity in qualitative research*. Malabar, FL : Robert E. Krieger.
- Laperrière, A. (1997). Les critères de scientificité des méthodes qualitatives. Dans J. Poupart, J.-P. Deslauriers, L.-H. Groulx, A. Laperrière, R. Mayer & A. P. Pires (Éds), *La recherche qualitative : Enjeux épistémologiques et méthodologiques* (p. 365-389). Montréal : Gaëtan Morin éditeur.
- Lather, P. (2003). Issues of validity in openly ideological research : Between a rock and a soft place. Dans Y. S. Lincoln & N. K. Denzin (Eds.), *Turning points in qualitative research. Tying knots in a handkerchief* (p. 185-215). Walnut Creek : Altamira.
- Lazaraton, A. (2003). Evaluation criteria for qualitative research in applied linguistics : Whose criteria and whose research? *The Modern Language Journal*, 87, 1-12.
- LeCompte, M., & Goetz, J. P. (1982). Problems of reliability and validity in ethnographic research. *Review of Educational Research*, (52), 31-60.
- Lee, T. W. (1999). *Using qualitative methods in organisational research*. Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Leininger, M. M. (1994). Evaluation criteria and critique of qualitative research studies. Dans J. M. Morse (Ed.), *Critical issues in qualitative research methods* (p. 95-115). Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Lewis, J., & Ritchie, J. (2003). Generalising from qualitative research. Dans J. Ritchie & J. Lewis (Eds.), *Qualitative research practice. A guide for social science students and researchers* (p. 263-286). London : Sage.
- Lincoln, Y. S. (1995). Emerging criteria for quality in qualitative and interpretive research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 1(3), 275-289.
- Lincoln, Y. S. (1997). What constitutes quality in interpretive research? Dans C. K. Kinzer, K. A. Hinchman & D. J. Leu (Eds.), *Inquiries in literacy : Theory and practice* (p. 54-68). Chicago : National Reading Conference.
- Madill, A., Jordan, A., & Shirley, C. (2000). Objectivity and reliability in qualitative analysis realist, contextualist and radical constructivist epistemologies. *British Journal of Psychology*, (91), 1-20.
- Magolda, P. (2000). Being at the wrong place, wrong time : Rethinking trust in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into Practice*, 39(3), 138-145.

- Marecek, J. (2003). Dancing through minefields : Toward a qualitative stance in psychology. Dans P. M. Camic, J. E. Rhodes & L. Yardley (Eds.), *Qualitative research in psychology* (p. 49-69). Washington : APA.
- Marshall C. (1985b). Appropriate criteria of trustworthiness and goodness in qualitative research on education or organizations. *Quality and Quantity*, 19, 353-373.
- Marshall, C. (1990). Goodness criteria. Are they objective or judgment calls? Dans E. G. Guba (Ed.), *The paradigm dialog* (p. 188-197). Newbury Park, CA : Sage.
- Maxwell, J. A. (1992). Understanding and validity in qualitative research. *Harvard Educational Review*, 62(3), 279-300.
- McEwen, W. J. (1963). Forms and problems of validation in social anthropology. *Current Anthropology*, 4, 155-169.
- Merriam, S. B. (2002). Assessing and evaluating qualitative research. Dans S. B. Merriam (Ed.), *Qualitative research in practice* (p. 18-33). San Francisco, CA : Jossey-Bass.
- Merrick, E. (1999). An exploration of quality in qualitative research. Dans M. Kopala & L. A. Suzuki (Eds.), *Using qualitative methods in psychology* (p. 25-36). Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, M. A. (2003). *Analyse des données qualitatives*. Bruxelles : De Boeck.
- Mo, L. (1979). Is field work scientific? *Munich Social Science Review*, (1), 5-17.
- Mooney, E. F. (1988). Living with double vision : Objectivity, subjectivity and human understanding. *Inquiry*, 31(2), 223-244.
- Morse, J. M. (1999). Myth #93 : Reliability and validity are not relevant to qualitative inquiry. *Qualitative Health Research*, 9(6), 717-718.
- Morse, J. M. (2003). Biasphobia. *Qualitative Health Research*, 13(7), 891-892.
- Morse, J. M. (2004). Preparing and evaluating qualitative research proposals. Dans C. Seale, G. Gobo, J. F. Gubrium & D. Silverman (Eds.), *Qualitative research practice* (p. 493-503). London : Sage.
- Morse, J. M., & Richards, L. (2007). *Readme first* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Naud, D. (1997). Sciences formelles et expérimentales. Sciences sociales - Normes de scientificité. Dans T. Andréani, M. Crampe-Casnabet, D. Damamme, F. Demichel, H. Desbrousses, B. Frydman, S. Jankélévitch, G.

- Jorland, L. Le Van Lemesle & D. Naud, *Objet des sciences sociales et normes de scientificité* (p. 165-190). Paris : L'Harmattan.
- Padgett, D. K. (1998). *Qualitative methods in social work research*. Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Paquay, L. (2006). Au-delà des cloisonnements entre divers types de recherche, quels critères de qualité? Dans L. Paquay, M. Crahay & J.-M. De Ketele (Dir.), *L'analyse qualitative en éducation* (p. 13-29). Bruxelles : De Boeck.
- Pellemans, P. (1999). *Recherche qualitative en marketing*. Bruxelles : DeBoeck.
- Peräkylä, A. (2004). Reliability and validity in research based on naturally occurring social interaction. Dans D. Silverman (Ed.), *Qualitative research* (2nd ed.) (p. 283-304). London : Sage.
- Phillimore, J., & Goodson, L. (Eds.). (2004). *Qualitative research in tourism*. London : Routledge.
- Phillips, D. (1987c). Validity in qualitative research : Why the worry about warrant will not wane. *Education and Urban Society*, 20, 9-24.
- Poupart, J., Deslauriers, J.-P., Groulx, L.-H., Laperrière, A., Mayer, R., & Pires, A. P. (Dir.). (1997). *La recherche qualitative : Enjeux épistémologiques et méthodologiques*. Boucherville : G. Morin.
- Prud'homme, L., Presseau, A., & Dolbec, A. (2007). Une pratique réflexive de recherches «à trait d'union» : quels enjeux scientifiques? *Recherches Qualitatives, Hors-Série*(4), 36-68.
- Pyett, P. M. (2003). Validation of qualitative research in the «real world». *Qualitative Health Research*, 13(8), 1170-1179.
- Ratcliffe, J. W. (1983). Notions of validity in qualitative research methodology. *Knowledge : Creation, Diffusion, Utilization*, 5(2), 147-167.
- Reid, A., & Gough, S. (2000). Guidelines for reporting and evaluating qualitative research: what are the alternatives? *Environmental Education Research*, 6(1), 59-91.
- Rice, P. L., & Ezzy, D. (1999). *Qualitative Research methods : A health focus*. Oxford, UK : Oxford University Press.
- Sandelowski, M. (1993). Rigor or rigor mortis : The problem of rigor in qualitative research revisited. *Advances in Nursing Science*, 16(2), 1-8.
- Sandelowski, M. (1986). The problem of rigor in qualitative research. *Advances in Nursing Science*, 8(3), 27-37.

- Sanjek, R. (1990). On ethnographic validity. Dans R. Sanjek (Ed.), *Fieldnotes : The making of anthropology* (p. 385-418). Ithaca, NY : Cornell University Press.
- Savoie-Zajc, L. (1990). Les critères de rigueur de la recherche qualitative. Dans P. Angenot, R. Claux, J.-P. Deslauriers, A. Dolbec & L. Savoie-Zajc, *La pratique de la recherche qualitative : Un plaisir?* (p. 49-66). Rouyn-Noranda : Soréat.
- Savoie-Zajc, L. (2000). La recherche qualitative/interprétative en éducation. Dans T. Karsenti & L. Savoie-Zajc (Éds), *Introduction à la recherche en éducation* (p. 171-198). Sherbrooke : CRP.
- Scheurich, J. J. (1996). Validity. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, (9), 49-60.
- Schofield, J. W. (1990). Increasing the generalizability of qualitative research. Dans E. W. Eisner & A. Peshkin (Eds.), *Qualitative inquiry in education : The continuing debate* (p. 201-232). New York : Teachers College Press.
- Schofield, J. W. (1993). Increasing the generalizability of qualitative research. Dans M. Hammersley (Eds.), *Social research : Philosophy, politics and practice* (p. 200-225). London : Sage.
- Seale, C. (1999). Quality in qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 5(4), 465-478.
- Seale, C. (1999). *The quality of qualitative research*. London : Sage.
- Seale, C. (2004). Quality in qualitative research. Dans C. Seale, G. Gobo, J. F. Gubrium & D. Silverman (Eds.), *Qualitative research practice* (p. 409-419). London : Sage.
- Smith, J. K. (1984). The problem of criteria in judging interpretive inquiry. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, (6), 379-391.
- Smith, J. K., & Hodkinson, P. (2005). Relativism, criteria, and politics. Dans N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The sage handbook of qualitative research* (3rd ed.) (p. 915-932). Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Soltis, J. F. (1990). The ethics of qualitative research. Dans E. W. Eisner & A. Peshkin (Eds.), *Qualitative inquiry in education : The continuing debate* (p. 247-257). New York : Teachers College Press.
- Spalter-Roth, R. (2005). *Putting the science in qualitative methodology*. Washington, DC.
- St-Cyr Tribble, D., & Saintonge, L. (1999). Réalité, subjectivité et crédibilité en recherche qualitative : Quelques questionnements. *Recherches Qualitatives*, 20, 113-125.

- Steinke, I. (2004). Quality criteria in qualitative research. Dans U. Flick, E. von Kardorff & I. Steinke (Eds), *A companion to qualitative research* (p. 184-190). London : Sage.
- Stiles, W. (1993). Quality control in qualitative research. *Clinical Psychology Review*, (13), 593-618.
- Strauss, A. L., & Corbin, J. (1991). Criteria for evaluating a grounded theory. Dans A. L. Strauss, *Creating sociological awareness* (p. 443-453). London : Transaction.
- Stravronos, B. K. (1950). Research methods in cultural anthropology in relation to scientific criteria. *Psychological Review*, 57, 334-344.
- Thorne, S. E. (1997). The art (and science) of critiquing qualitative research. Dans J. M. Morse (Ed.), *Completing a qualitative project : Details and dialogue* (p. 117-132). Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Thorne, S. E. (2001). The implications of disciplinary agenda on quality criteria for qualitative research. Dans J. M. Morse, J. M. Swanson & A. J. Kuzel (Eds), *The nature of qualitative evidence* (p. 141-159). Thousand Oaks : Sage.
- Thyer, B. A. (Ed.). (2001). *The handbook of social work research methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA : Sage.
- Tschudi, F. (1989). Do qualitative and quantitative methods require different approaches to validity? Dans S. Kvale (Ed.), *Issues of validity in qualitative research* (p. 109-134). Malabar, FL : Robert E. Krieger.
- Van der Maren, J.-M. (1996). La recherche qualitative peut-elle être rigoureuse? *Cahiers de recherche HEC*, (96-11-11), 1-9.
- Van Der Maren, J.-M. (2006). Les recherches qualitatives : Des critères variés de qualité en fonction des types de recherche. Dans L. Paquay, M. Crahay & J.-M. De Ketele (Dir.), *L'analyse qualitative en éducation* (p. 65-80). Bruxelles : De Boeck.
- Vidich, A. J., & Bensman, J. (1954). The validity of field data. *Human Organization*, 13(1), 20-27.
- Ward-Schofield, J. (1993). Increasing the generalisability of qualitative research. Dans M. Hammersley (Ed.), *Social research : Philosophy, politics & practice* (p. 200-225). London : Sage.
- Whittemore, R., Chase, S. K., & Mandle, C. L. (2001). Validity in qualitative research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 11(4), 522-537.

- Winter, G. (2000). A comparative discussion of the notion of "validity" in qualitative and quantitative research. *Qualitative Report* (<http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR4-3/winter.html>), 4(3/4).
- Wolcott, H. F. (1990). On seeking - and rejecting - validity in qualitative research. Dans E. W. Eisner & A. Peshkin (Eds.), *Qualitative inquiry in education : The continuing debate* (p. 121-152). New York : Teachers College Press.
- Yin, R. K. (1994). Evaluation : A singular craft. Dans C. S. Reichardt & S. F. Rallis (Eds.), *The qualitative-quantitative debate : New perspectives* (p. 71-84). San Francisco : Jossey-Bass.

François Guillemette est professeur de méthodologie et de formation pratique au Département des Sciences de l'Éducation de l'Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières. Il est président de l'Association pour la Recherche Qualitative depuis 2006, de même que chercheur associé au Centre de Recherche Interuniversitaire sur la Formation et la Profession Enseignante (CRIFPE) et chercheur-membre du Consortium National de Recherche sur l'Intégration Sociale (CNRIS). Docteur en éducation et docteur en théologie, ses projets de recherche portent notamment sur l'utilisation de la méthodologie de la théorisation enracinée (Grounded Theory) au Canada et en Europe, le développement des compétences professionnelles dans les programmes de formation post-secondaire, la communication en déficience intellectuelle.

Marie-Josée Berthiaume est membre de l'Ordre des psychoéducatrices et psychoéducateurs du Québec. Elle est professionnelle de recherche à l'Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières et à l'Association pour la Recherche Qualitative.